	·	
1	Parties Listed On Signature Page	
2		
3		E-filed: 10/6/2008
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10		
11	RAMBUS INC.,	CASE NO.: C 05-00334 RMW
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF
13	vs.	ORDER TO SHORTEN THE BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR RAMBUS'S
- 14	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., et al.,	EMERGENCY MOTION TO STRIKE [L.R. 6-2]
15	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte Courtroom: 6
16		Courtiooni. o
17	RAMBUS INC.,	CASE NO.: C 05-02298 RMW
18	Plaintiff,	
19	VS.	
20	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., et al.,	
21	Defendant.	
22	RAMBUS INC.,	CASE NO. C-06-00244 RMW
23	Plaintiff,	3132110. C 00 002111dvi V
24	VS.	
25	MICRON TECHNOLOGY INC., et al,	
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		STIP. & ORDER TO SHORTEN BRIEFING
I.		The state of the s

## Case 5:05-cv-00334-RMW Document 2345 Filed 10/06/08 Page 2 of 5

1	WHEREAS, the parties exchanged rebuttal expert reports on September 26, 2008;
2	WHEREAS, the Manufacturers served separate rebuttal expert reports on
3	noninfringement;
4	WHEREAS, following the Manufacturers' service of their individual rebuttal
5	reports, Rambus objected to the Manufacturers' submission of four separate rebuttal reports on
6	noninfringement as impermissible under the Joint Case Management Order;
7	WHEREAS, the Manufacturers contend that they are entitled to submit their own
8	individual rebuttal expert reports on noninfringement under the Joint Case Management Order;
9	WHEREAS, on September 30, 2008, the parties met and conferred in good faith
10	regarding Rambus's objections but were unable to resolve their differences, and Rambus
11	indicated its intent to bring an emergency motion to strike three of the Manufacturers' four expert
12	reports on noninfringement;
13	WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that the discovery cutoff for expert
14	depositions of the Manufacturers' noninfringement expert or experts shall be enlarged such that
15	their deposition or depositions can proceed after the Court resolves Rambus's motion to strike;
16	WHEREAS, the parties agree that this will not impact the schedule for filing and
17	resolution of Daubert or dispositive motions, or the trial date;
18	WHEREAS, in order to expedite the resolution of Rambus's objections, the parties
19	have agreed to the briefing and hearing schedule set forth below, subject to the Court's approval
20	and availability:
21	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED THAT
22	Rambus shall file its Emergency Motion to Strike on or before October 3, 2008;
23	The Manufacturers will file their opposition(s) on or before October 10, 2008;
24	Rambus will file its reply on or before October 14, 2008;
25	The hearing on Rambus's Emergency Motion to Strike shall be on October 17,
26	2008;
27	The discovery cutoff for expert depositions of the Manufacturers' noninfringement
28	expert or experts shall be enlarged such that their deposition or depositions can proceed after the

## Case 5:05-cv-00334-RMW Document 2345 Filed 10/06/08 Page 3 of 5

Court resolves Rambus's motion to strike. SO ORDERED Ronald m. white 10/4/08 DATED: The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte District Judge 

## Case 5:05-cv-00334-RMW Document 2345 Filed 10/06/08 Page 4 of 5

1	DATED: October 2, 2008	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
2		SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
3		McKOOL SMITH P.C.
4		·
5		By: /s/ Jennifer L. Polse  JENNIFER L. POLSE
6		Attorneys for RAMBUS INC.
7	DATED: October 2, 2008	JULIE HAN
8	,	TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW, LLP
9		
10		By /s/ Julie Han
10		JULIE HAN
11	•	Attorneys for HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR
12	*	AMERICA INC., HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING
13	į.	AMERICA INC., HYNIX
14		SEMICONDUCTOR U.K. LTD., and HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR DEUTSCHLAND GmbH
15		
16	DATED: October 2, 2008	SVEN RAZ WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
17		
18		By: /s/ Sven Raz SVEN RAZ
19		Attorneys for MICRON TECHNOLOGY
20		INC., et. al.
21	DATED: October 2, 2008	ROBERT BEREZIN
22		WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
23		By:/s/Robert Berezin
24		ROBERT BEREZIN
25		Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
26		AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., AND SAMSUNG
27		AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, L.P.
28		

## Case 5:05-cv-00334-RMW Document 2345 Filed 10/06/08 Page 5 of 5

1	DATED: October 2, 2008	VICKIE FEEMAN ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
2		LLP
3		By: /s/ Vickie Feeman
4		VICKIE FEEMAN
5		Attorneys for NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION and NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION USA
7		TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION USA
8	Filer's A	Attestation:
9	I, Jennifer L. Polse, am the ECF user whose identification and password are being used to	
10	file this STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
11		914[
12	FOR THE MCALEXANDER DEPOSITION AND ANY RELATED <i>DAUBERT</i> BRIEFING. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that Julie Han, Sven Raz,	
13	Robert Berezin and Vickie Feeman concur in th	
14	Ву	r: <u>/s/ Jennifer L. Polse</u> Jennifer L. Polse
15		
16		
17		
18		
18 19	<u>.</u>	
	-	
19		
19 20		
19 20 21		
19 20 21 22		
19 20 21 22 23		
19 20 21 22 23 24		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		